Lecture 4 :

ONE VARIABLE SYSTEMS

S.C. Nicolis

October - December 2010

Table of Contents



Canonical example from chemical kinetics

- Intuitive approach
- Analytic view
- Bifurcation analysis
- Kinetic potential and catastrophe theory

Population dynamics



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

General features

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F\left(X,\lambda\right)$$

- $\blacktriangleright\,$ 1d phase space \rightarrow fixed points are only possible attractors
- Real roots ω of characteristic equations \rightarrow monotonic approach towards attractors



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

3

General features

 Complexity is here manifested by the coexistence of more than one simultaneously accessible (i.e., stable) steady states (= fixed points).

$$X_{s_2}$$
 X_{s_1} X_{s_3}

Transition from single to multiple steady-states? Relative stability?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲■▶ ▲■▶ = ののの

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Intuitive approach

3d order autocatalysis in an open well-stirred reactor

$$A + 2X \stackrel{k}{\underset{k'}{\leftrightarrow}} 3X \qquad (A \text{ in excess}) \quad \to \qquad \frac{dX}{dt} = kAX^2 - k'X^3 + \frac{1}{\tau}(X_0 - X)$$

Intuitive approach



Canonical example from chemical kinetics Intuitive approach

Steady states



Transition between mono and bi stability : r.h.s. tangent to l.h.s.

S.C. Nicolis

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

3

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Analytic view

Analytic view

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = kAX^2 - k'X^3 + \frac{1}{\tau}(X_0 - X)$$

4 parameters (too much !). Reduction to two parameters through scaling of X and t.

$$x = \frac{X}{X_0} \qquad T = tk'X_0^2 \qquad \lambda = \frac{kA}{k'X_0} \qquad \mu = \frac{1}{\tau k'X_0^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{dx}{dT} = -x^3 + \lambda x^2 - \mu x + \mu$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ - □ - つへで

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Bifurcation analysis

Bifurcation analysis

$$\frac{dx}{dT} \quad = \quad -x^3 + \lambda x^2 - \mu x + \mu \qquad (\text{after scaling})$$

• Elimination of x^2 term through transformation $z = x - \frac{\lambda}{3}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dz}{dT} = -\left(z + \frac{\lambda}{3}\right)^3 + \lambda\left(z + \frac{\lambda}{3}\right)^2 - \mu\left(z + \frac{\lambda}{3}\right) + \mu$$

or,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dz}{dT} = -z^3 + \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{3} - \mu\right)z + \left(\frac{2\lambda^3}{27} - \frac{\mu\lambda}{3} + \mu\right) \tag{I}$$

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Bifurcation analysis

 \blacktriangleright First consider case where constant term vanishes. Condition on μ and λ for this

$$\mu = \frac{2\lambda^3}{9(\lambda - 3)} \qquad (\lambda > 3, \text{ since } \mu > 0 \text{ for physical reasons})$$

Eq. for z becomes



pitchfork bifurcation

Notice that trivial state z = 0 becomes unstable beyond the bifurcation point λ_c . The stability of bifurcating branches can be checked straightforwardly (supercritical bifurcation).

This example is in fact paradigmatic : any system in the vicinity of a pitchfork bifurcation can be reduced to eq. (II) (<u>normal form</u>) where z is a combination of the variables (<u>order parameter</u>). All other variables follow z passively

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Bifurcation analysis

▶ In the more general case where the constant term in (I) does not vanish, write equation as

$$\frac{dz}{dT} = -z^3 + \lambda z + \mu$$

According to the theory of cubic equations, we have the following situation for the steady states :



Canonical example from chemical kinetics Bifurcation analysis

Limit point bifurcations !





(a)

-

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Kinetic potential and catastrophe theory

A system described by a single variable derives necessarily from a potential, in the sense

$$\frac{dz}{dT} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

For our canonical model, U is obtained by simple quadrature :

$$U = \frac{z^4}{4} - \lambda \frac{z^2}{2} - \mu z$$

Correspondence to stability :

- ▶ z_s stable, U min
- \triangleright z_s unstable, $U \max$

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Kinetic potential and catastrophe theory

Transition from one to two stable steady-states



Relative stability :

basins of attraction of the two stable states, or depth of the minimum of the potential.

The concept of structural stability :

classify qualitatively different behaviors that remain robust upon slight changes of the control parameters by determining how the potential is deformed when these parameters are changing.

-

Canonical example from chemical kinetics Kinetic potential and catastrophe theory

Catastrophes :

Situations separating qualitatively different behaviors (e.g., cusp point, middle curve of previous slide)

- Full classification possible for cubic nonlinearities as long as two control parameters are available.
- More involved situations for higher order nonlinearities or for multi-variate systems : catastrophe theory.

Population dynamics

Verhulst equation

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \underbrace{kX\left(1 - \frac{X}{N}\right)}_{F(X)}$$

Fixed points :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_{s_1} & = & 0 \\ X_{S_2} & = & N \end{array}$$

Stability :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & X_s + x \\ \frac{dx}{dt} & = & \underbrace{\left(k - \frac{2kX_s}{N}\right)x}_{(\partial F/\partial X)_s \equiv \omega} \end{array}$$

S.C. Nicolis

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

э

Population dynamics

$$\blacktriangleright \ X_{s_1} = 0 \Rightarrow \omega = k$$

Exchange of stability :

 $\blacktriangleright X_{s_2} = N \Rightarrow \omega = -k$



Transcritical bifurcation at criticality k = 0.







・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

S.C. Nicolis

Population dynamics

Comparison with data on population growth

Human population :



Figure 5 Population of U.S. Logistic curve fitted so that observed points at 1840, 1900 and 1960 are exact.4 Points represent census data.

Material production :



Figure 10 Logistic growth of raw material production, showing oscillation on attaining ceiling conditions (Data from S. G. Lasky, Eng. Mining J., 156 (Sept., 1955).)

H 5

A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A

S.C. Nicolis Applied Dynamical Systems

-

Global energy balance and climatic change

- Variability of earth's climate over geological time scale. Quaternary glaciations interrupted by interglacial periods.
- Does earth's climate admit multiple states?



Energy balance equation on global scale :

$$C \frac{dT}{dt} = \underbrace{Q\left[1 - a\left(T\right)\right]}_{G(T)} -\epsilon \sigma T^4$$

where

- C : heat capacity
- G(T) : incoming minus reflected
- a(T) : reflectivity (albedo)
- ▶ ε CO₂ effect
- σT^4 : Stefan Boltzmann law

Global energy balance and climatic change

Expected form of a : (ice-albedo feedback)



Graphic representation of steady state solutions :

